

FILED
JUL 10 2025

22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE
DEPUTY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS
STATE OF MISSOURI

JOHN DOE and JANE DOE, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SSM HEALTH CARE CORPORATION
d/b/a SSM HEALTH and NAVVIS &
COMPANY, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 2422-CC00208-01

Division 1

~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

On February 28, 2025, this Court entered an order granting preliminary approval (the "Preliminary Approval Order") of the Settlement between (a) Plaintiffs John and Jane Doe ("Plaintiffs"), and Donna Allen, Keeley Bogart, Melanie Burns, Detrick Clark, Richard Lilly, Julie Montiel, Jeff Ruderman, Julie Schaus, Dorothy Winston, Duane Zellmer (together with Plaintiffs, "Class Representatives"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class; and (b) Defendant Navvis & Company, LLC ("Navvis") (collectively, the "Parties") as memorialized in Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement;¹

On March 28, 2025, pursuant to the notice requirements set forth in the Settlement Agreement and in the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Class Members were apprised of the nature and pendency of the Action, the terms of the Settlement, and their rights to request exclusion, object, and/or appear at the Final Approval Hearing;

On July 10, 2025, the Court held a Final Approval Hearing to determine, *inter alia*: (1) whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (2) whether judgment should be

¹ The capitalized terms used in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement except as may otherwise be indicated.

entered dismissing all claims with prejudice. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel filed a declaration from the Settlement Administrator confirming that the Notice Program was completed in accordance with the Parties' instructions and the Preliminary Approval Order. Therefore, the Court is satisfied that Settlement Class Members were properly notified of their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing in support of or in opposition to the proposed Settlement and the award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.

Having given an opportunity to be heard to all requesting persons in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, having heard the presentation of Class Counsel and counsel for Navvis, having reviewed all of the submissions presented with respect to the proposed Settlement, having determined that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and having considered the application made by Class Counsel for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, and having reviewed the materials in support thereof, and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over all claims raised therein and all parties thereto, including the Settlement Class Members. The Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Parties, Defendant SSM Healthcare Corporation d/b/a SSM Health ("SSM"), and the Settlement Class Members.
2. The Settlement was entered into in good faith following arms' length negotiations and is non-collusive.
3. The Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate, is in the best interests of the Settlement Class, and is therefore approved. The Court finds that the Parties faced significant risks, expenses, delays and uncertainties, including as to the outcome, of continued litigation of this complex matter, which further supports the Court's finding that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members. The Court

finds that the uncertainties of continued litigation in both the trial and appellate courts, as well as the expense associated with it, weigh in favor of approval of the Settlement.

4. This Court grants final approval of the Settlement, including, but not limited to, the releases in the Settlement and the plans for distribution of the settlement relief. The Court finds that the Settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class. Therefore, all Settlement Class Members who have not opted out are bound by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

5. The Settlement and every term and provision thereof—including, without limitation, the releases—are incorporated herein as if explicitly set forth herein and shall have the full force of an Order of this Court.

6. The Parties shall effectuate the Settlement in accordance with its terms.

OBJECTIONS AND OPT-OUTS

7. Of the over 2.8 million Settlement Class Members, only two objections and 354 opt-out requests were filed by Settlement Class Members. The Court finds that these modest numbers of objections and opt-out requests supports final approval of the Settlement.

8. All persons and entities who have not objected to the Settlement in the manner provided in the Settlement are deemed to have waived any objections to the Settlement, including, but not limited to, by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

CLASS CERTIFICATION

9. For purposes of the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court hereby finally certifies for settlement purposes only the following Settlement Class:

All persons residing in the United States whose Private Information was compromised during the Incident. The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (i) Navvis and its officers and directors and the Related Parties; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly opt out of the Settlement Class; (iii) any judges assigned to the Litigation and their staff and family; and (iv) any other Person found by a court of competent

jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the occurrence of the Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge.

10. The Court determines that for settlement purposes the Settlement Class meets all the requirements of Missouri Court Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08(a) and (b), namely that the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical; that there are common issues of law and fact; that Plaintiffs' claims are typical of absent Settlement Class Members; that Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class as they has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with the Settlement Class and have retained experienced and competent Class Counsel to prosecute this matter; that common issues predominate over any individual issues; and that a class action is the superior means of adjudicating the controversy.

11. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of John, Jane Doe, Donna Allen, Keeley Bogart, Melanie Burns, Detrick Clark, Richard Lilly, Julie Montiel, Jeff Ruderman, Julie Schaus, Dorothy Winston, Duane Zellmer as the Class Representatives. The Court concludes that Class Representatives have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class and will continue to do so.

12. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of John F. Garvey, Jr. of Stranch, Jennings & Garvey, PLLC as Class Counsel. The Court concludes that Class Counsel has adequately represented the Settlement Class and will continue to do so.

NOTICE TO THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

13. The Court finds that the Notice Program, set forth in the Settlement Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, was reasonably calculated to provide and did provide due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the Settlement, their right to exclude

themselves, their right to object to the Settlement and to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and satisfied the requirements of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure, the Missouri and United States Constitution, and all other applicable laws.

AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES AND SERVICE AWARDS

14. The Court has considered Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Class Representative Service Awards and Integrated Memorandum of Law in Support, which was filed with the Court on May 22, 2025.

15. The Court grants Class Counsel's request for (a) payment of Services Awards of \$2,500 to each of the named Class Representatives, John Doe and Jane Doe, Donna Allen, Keeley Bogart, Melanie Burns, Detrick Clark, Richard Lilly, Julie Montiel, Jeff Ruderman, Julie Schaus, Dorothy Winston, and Duane Zellmer for a total payment of \$30,000.00, and (b) \$2,000,000 as an award of attorneys' fees, and expenses not to exceed \$50,000, all to be paid in accordance with the Settlement. The Court finds the Service Awards and these amounts of fees, costs, and expenses to be fair and reasonable. The award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, and any interest earned thereon, as well as the Service Awards to Class Representatives, shall be paid by Navvis, separate and apart from any benefits provided to Settlement Class Members and the costs of notice and Claims Administration. Such are independent of the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement.

16. Navvis shall pay the attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to the Settlement Administrator, and the Settlement Administrator shall then distribute such funds within seven (7) days of its receipt thereof.

17. The Court orders payment of Settlement Administration costs and expenses to EAG Gulf Coast LLC Legal Administration Services for performance of its settlement notice and claims administration services.

OTHER PROVISIONS

18. The Parties to the Settlement shall carry out their respective obligations thereunder.

19. Within the time set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the relief provided for in the Settlement shall be made available to the Settlement Class Members submitting valid Claim Forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.

20. Upon the Effective Date, each Participating Settlement Class Member, including Class Representatives, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims. Further, upon the Effective Date, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, each Participating Settlement Class Member, including Class Representatives, shall, either directly, indirectly, representatively, as a member of or on behalf of the general public or in any capacity, be permanently barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or participating in any recovery in any action in this or any other forum (other than participation in the settlement as provided herein) in which any of the Released Claims are asserted.

21. This Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement, and all acts, statements, documents, and proceedings relating to the Settlement shall not be offered or received against Navvis or SSM (collectively, "Defendants") as evidence of or construed as or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by Defendants with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by the Class Representative or any Settlement Class member or the validity of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, breach of duty, or wrongdoing of Defendants; ***provided, however,*** that nothing in the foregoing, the Settlement Agreement, or this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be interpreted to prohibit the use of the Settlement Agreement or this Final

Approval Order and Judgment in a proceeding to consummate or enforce the Settlement or this Final Approval Order and Judgment (including all releases in the Settlement and Final Approval Order and Judgment), or to defend against the assertion of any Released Claims in any other proceeding, or as otherwise required by law.

22. This Final Approval Order and Judgment and the Settlement, and all acts, statements, documents, and proceedings relating to the Settlement are not, and shall not be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or presumption against the Class Representatives or any Settlement Class member that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defense asserted by Defendants have any merit, or that damages recoverable in the Action would not have exceeded the total value of the Settlement.

23. The Settlement (including without limitation the releases therein) shall be forever binding on, and shall have res judicata and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings as to Released Claims that are brought, initiated, or maintained by, or on behalf of, any Settlement Class member or any other person subject to the provisions of this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

24. The Court hereby dismisses with prejudice the Action and Plaintiffs' Class Action Petition and all claims therein on the merits and with prejudice, without fees or costs to any party except as provided in this Final Approval Order and Judgment.


25. Consistent with Paragraphs 9.2 of the Settlement Agreement, if the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, does not occur for any reason, this Final Approval Order and Judgment and the Preliminary Approval Order shall be deemed vacated and shall have no force and effect whatsoever; the Settlement shall be considered null and void; all of the Parties' obligations under the Settlement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall cease to be of any force and effect, and the Parties and SSM shall return to the

status quo ante in the Action as if the Parties had not entered into the Settlement. In such an event, the Parties and SSM shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action as if the Settlement Agreement had never been entered into (and without prejudice to any of the Parties' or SSM's respective positions on the issue of class certification or any other issue).

26. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court will retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties and SSM with respect to the interpretation and implementation of the Settlement for all purposes, including enforcement of its terms at the request of any party, and resolution of any disputes that may arise relating in any way to the implementation of the Settlement or the implementation of this Final Order and Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: July 10, 2025

By:  _____